

Creole exceptionalism via transmission

The weak-to-strong harmony gap

Society for Pidgin and Creole Linguistics · 2–6 Aug 2011 · Accra, Ghana

E-Ching Ng

Yale University

e-ching.ng@yale.edu

1. Introduction

(1) Creole exceptionalism?

- a. “The world’s simplest grammars are creole grammars” (McWhorter 1998, 2001)
- b. Phonology: Fewer phonemes? Less marked? — No (Klein 2006, 2011; Bakker 2009)

(2) A diachronic approach

- a. Creoles are defined by their history, not by current grammars (Muysken & Smith 1994)
- b. Should we expect creole exceptionalism to be diachronic rather than synchronic?
 - Creole inventories — *Synchronically*: Average size compared to non-creoles.
Diachronically: Larger if strongly influenced by lexifier *or* substrate (Uffman 2009)
 - Front rounded vowels — *Synchronically*: Absent or non-contrastive in all contact.
Diachronically: /y-i/ merger dominant in all creoles vs. /y-u/ in some contact (Ng 2011)

2. Stress-driven harmony

(3) a. Creole harmony is sporadic but widespread (Holm 1988: 125; Green 1988: 436)

- i. Saramaccan /oe/ vs. /ɔɛ/ → [kómpe] ‘friend’ → [kónde] ‘village, country’ (Smith 1975)
 - ii. Haitian *u* ‘you, your’ → [māʒe-ɔ] ‘your food’ → [frɛ-ɔ] ‘your brother’
 - iii. French *ve'nir* ‘to come’ > [vini] in Haitian and other French creoles
 - iv. English *po'tato* > [pɛtɛtɛ] ‘sweet potato’ in Krio
 - v. English *Smith* > [simit] in Jamaican
 - vi. Portuguese *mel* ‘honey’ > [mɛɛ] in São Tome
- ⇒ Strong-to-weak — Strong triggers, weak targets (unstressed, clitic, schwa, epenthetic)

b. Substrate harmony is often /ieou/ vs. /ɛɔʊ/ (Clements & Rialland 2008)

Akan (Dolphyne 1988: 18, 21)

- i. /ieou(æ)/ → [ɛfiɛ] ‘home’ → [ɔwuɔ] ‘death’ → [ɔbetwi] ‘he’ll push it’
- ii. /ɛɔʊ(a)/ → [ɛfiɛ] ‘vomit’ → [ɛwuɔ] ‘honey’ → [ɔbetwi] ‘he’ll pull it’

c. Also possible with Chinese substrate languages

- Malay [kəlosaŋ] ‘brooch’ > [kɔlosaŋ] in Baba Malay

(4) a. Non-creoles: Strong-to-weak harmony — Strong triggers, weak targets

Finnish front harmony (Ohala 1994)

- i. *Back* → [ˈpouda-lla] ‘in fine weather’
- ii. *Front* → [ˈpøydæ-llæ] ‘on the table’

b. Non-creoles: Weak-to-strong harmony — Unstressed triggers, stressed targets

Grado Italian height harmony (Walker 2005) — also termed umlaut or metaphony

- i. *Non-high* → [preˈfɔnn-a] ‘profound (f. sg.)’
- ii. *High* → [preˈfɯnn-u] ‘profound (m. sg.)’

(5) Stress-driven harmony	Creoles	Non-creoles
a. Strong-to-weak • 'boki > 'bok <u>o</u>	✓	✓
b. Weak-to-strong • 'boki > 'b <u>i</u> ki		✓

3. Previous proposals for stress-driven harmony

(6) Phonetic basis of phonologization

- *Synchronically*: Universal constraints should be grounded in phonetics (Hayes 1999)
 - *Diachronically*: Categorical phonology arises out of phonetic variation (e.g. Blevins 2004)
- ⇒ Harmony arises from vowel-to-vowel coarticulation (Ohala 1994; Majors 1998; Walker 2005)

(7) Previous proposal for strong-to-weak harmony ('boki > 'boko)

- Stressed vowels are louder, longer, more peripheral (e.g. Fry 1955; Sluijter & van Heuven 1996) with stronger neural activation (Tilsen 2010)
- Hence speakers introduce strong-to-weak distortions for ease of articulation (e.g. Majors 1998)

(8) a. Previous proposal for weak-to-strong harmony ('boki > 'biki) ✗

- Unstressed vowels have less volume, are shorter, more reduced (e.g. Lindblom 1963)
- Hence speakers introduce weak-to-strong distortions to overcompensate for listeners' poor perception of weak vowels (Walker 2005)

b. But phonetic studies of actual speech consistently find greater strong-to-weak distortion!

- English (Magen 1984, 1997; Majors 1998; Cho 2004)
- French (Nguyen & Fagyal 2008)

4. My proposal for the weak-to-strong harmony (gap)

(9) Perceptual basis of sound change (e.g. Ohala 1986)

- Sound change requires listener misperception as well as speaker coarticulation
- Normally, listeners compensate for expected coarticulation (e.g. Mitterer 2006)

(10) Also articulatorily unnatural: Neutral vowels in Finnish front harmony (Ohala 1994)

- | | | | |
|-------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|
| i. Back /a o u/ | ‣ ['poud <u>a</u>] 'fine weather' | iii. Neutral /i e/ | ‣ ['mela <u>a</u>] 'oar, paddle' |
| ii. Front /æ ø y/ | ‣ ['pøyd <u>æ</u>] 'the table' | | ‣ ['nen <u>æ</u>] 'nose' |

- The most 'perceptually front' vowels /i e/ are the ones that *don't* trigger front harmony!
 - Differential compensation: Listeners compensate more for more expected effects
 - Listeners expect frontness effects near /i e/, hence they compensate more → No change.
 - Less expected near /æ ø y/, hence undercompensation for coarticulation → Misperception.
- ⇒ Misperception near some vowels, not others = Harmony with neutral vowels.

(11) a. How can weak-to-strong harmony ever occur in non-creoles?

- Listeners undercompensate for less expected articulatory effects
 - More distortion expected near stressed vowels, hence compensation → No change.
 - Less distortion expected near unstressed vowels, hence undercompensation → Misperception.
- ⇒ Misperception near unstressed vowels, not stressed vowels = Weak-to-strong harmony.

b. Why is weak-to-strong harmony absent in creoles?

- Early creolizers were inexperienced with stress-driven coarticulation (cf. Zhang & Francis 2010)
 - Stress is not salient in many of the substrates (Gut *et al.* 2002; Clements & Rialland 2008)
 - Hence undercompensation across the board
 - Stressed vowels inherently cause more distortion to neighbours → More misperception.
 - Unstressed vowels inherently cause less distortion to neighbours → Less misperception.
- ⇒ Misperception near stressed vowels, not unstressed vowels = Strong-to-weak harmony.

5. Refining the proposal

(12) **Is this an accidental gap? Two possibilities:**

- a. No — L2 learners will always undercompensate across the board.
- b. Yes — L2 learners are capable of differential compensation given relevant L1 experience. ✓

(13) **a. Palatalization of /k g/ > [tʃ dʒ] in French creoles (Smith 2008: 122)**

- Before /e ε y œ/, e.g. French *quinze* ‘fifteen’ > [tʃɛ̃z] in Trinidad, Dominica
- Not before /i/, e.g. French *quitter* ‘to leave’ > [kʲite] in Trinidad, Dominica

b. Substrate palatalization

- Proto-Gbe */k g/ > [tʃ dʒ] before /i ɪ y/ in most modern Gbe dialects (Capo 1991: 135)
 - Fante /t d/ → [ts dz] before front vowels (Dolphyne 1988: 144); cf. Gbe (Capo 1991: 135)
- ⇒ Early creolizers had experience of CV coarticulation effects such as French [ki].

(14) **Refined proposal**

- L2 learners are capable of differential compensation given relevant L1 experience
 - Inexperienced with stress → Undercompensation across the board → Strong-to-weak harmony only.
 - Experienced with CV coarticulation → Differential compensation → Palatalization except before /i/.
- Seeking: Phonetic studies of substrates, etymological databases of creoles
- Prediction: In imitation experiments, naïve speakers will perform more differential compensation for features which are allophonically conditioning in their L1.

(15) **Conclusions**

- Weak-to-strong harmony gap due to traces of contact (L1 phonology influencing L2 perception)
- A case of accidental creole exceptionalism
 - Syllable-timed substrate → Strong-to-weak harmony.
 - Stress-timed substrate → Weak-to-strong harmony?

I would like to thank

Darya Kavitskaya, Claire Bownern, Steve Anderson, Jelena Krivokapić,
Erich Round, Leandro Bolaños, Emily Gasser, Jason Zentz

and my language coaches

Eeva Sippola, Sampson Korsah and Richmond Kwesi.

Of course all errors are my own.

References

- Bakker, Peter (2009). Phonological complexity in pidgins. In *Simplicity and Complexity in Creoles and Pidgins*, ed. by Nicholas Faraclas & Thomas B. Klein. London: Battlebridge. 7–27.
- Blevins, Juliette (2004). *Evolutionary phonology: The emergence of sound patterns*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Capo, Hounkpati B.C. (1991). *A comparative phonology of Gbe*. Berlin; New York: Foris.
- Cho, Taehong (2004). Prosodically conditioned strengthening and vowel-to-vowel coarticulation in English. *Journal of Phonetics* 32(2): 141–176.
- Clements, Nick & Anne Rialland (2008). Africa as a phonological area. In *Africa as a Linguistic Area*, ed. by Bernd Heine & Derek Nurse. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Dolphyne, Florence Abena (1988). *The Akan (Twi-Fante) language: Its sound systems and tonal structure*. Accra: Ghana Universities Press.
- Fry, D. B. (1955). Duration and intensity as physical correlates of linguistic stress. *Journal of the Acoustic Society of America* 27(4): 765–769.
- Green, John N. (1988). Romance creoles. In *The Romance languages*, ed. by Martin Harris & Nigel Vincent. Croom Helm. 420–474.
- Gut, Ulrike, Eno-Abasi Urua, Sandrine Adouakou, & Dafydd Gibbon (2002). Rhythm in West African tone languages: A study of Ibibio, Anyi and Ega. In *Typology of African Prosodic Systems*, ed. by Ulrike Gut & Dafydd Gibbon. Bielefeld: Univ. 159–165.
- Hayes, Bruce (1999). Phonetically driven phonology: The role of Optimality Theory and inductive grounding. Volume 1: General Papers. In *Functionalism and formalism in linguistics*, ed. by F. Newmeyer M. Noonan M. Damell, E. Moravcsik & K. Wheatley. Amsterdam: John Benjamins. 243–285.
- Holm, John A. (1988). *Pidgins and Creoles: Volume I: Theory and structure*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Klein, Thomas B. (2006). Creole phonology typology: Phoneme inventory size, vowel quality distinctions and stop consonant series. In *The structure of creole words: Segmental, syllabic and morphological aspects*, ed. by Parth Bhatt & Ingo Plag. Tübingen: Niemeyer. 3–21.
- Klein, Thomas B. (2011). Typology of creole phonology: Phoneme inventories and syllable templates. *Journal of Pidgin and Creole Languages* 26(1): 155–193, special issue: Creoles and typology.
- Lindblom, Björn (1963). Spectrographic study of vowel reduction. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* 35(11): 1773–1781.
- Magen, Harriet [S.] (1984). Vowel-to-vowel coarticulation in English and Japanese. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* 75: S41.
- Magen, Harriet S. (1997). The extent of vowel-to-vowel coarticulation in English. *Journal of Phonetics* 25: 187–206.
- Majors, Tivoli Jane (1998). Stress-dependent harmony: Phonetic origins and phonological analysis. Ph.D. thesis, University of Texas at Austin.
- McWhorter, John H. (1998). Identifying the creole prototype: Vindicating a typological class. *Language* 74(4): 788–818.
- McWhorter, John H. (2001). The world's simplest grammars are creole grammars. *Linguistic Typology* 5(2-3): 125–166.
- Mitterer, Holger (2006). On the causes of compensation for coarticulation: Evidence for phonological mediation. *Perception & Psychophysics* 68(7): 1227–1240.
- Muysken, Pieter & Norval Smith (1994). The study of pidgin and creole languages. In *Pidgins and creoles: An introduction*, ed. by Jacques Arends, Pieter Muysken, & Norval Smith. Amsterdam; Philadelphia: John Benjamins. 3–14.
- Ng, E-Ching (2011). Vowel unrounding in French creoles. Paper presented at SPCL, Pittsburgh, 7–8 Jan.
- Nguyen, Noël & Zsuzsanna Fagyal (2008). Acoustic aspects of vowel harmony in French. *Journal of Phonetics* 36(1): 1–27.
- Ohala, John J. (1986). The listener as a source of sound change. In *Papers from the parasession on language and behavior*, ed. by C.S. Masek, R.A. Hendrick, & M.F. Miller. Chicago: Chicago Linguistic Society. 178–203.
- Ohala, John J. (1994). Hierarchies of environments for sound variation; plus implications for 'neutral' vowels in vowel harmony. *Acta Linguistica Hafniensia* 27(2): 371–382.
- Rountree, S. Catherine, Jajo Asodanoe, & Naomi Glock (2000). *Saramaccan-English word list (with idioms) (Saramaccan-Engels woordenlijst)*. Paramaribo: SIL.
- Sluijter, Agaath M.C. & Vincent J. van Heuven (1996). Acoustic correlates of linguistic stress and accent in Dutch and American English. In *ICSLP 96: Proceedings, Fourth International Conference on Spoken Language Processing*. New York: Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers. Vol. 2: 630–633.
- Smith, Norval (1975). Vowel harmony in two languages of Surinam. *Spektator* 4: 315–320.
- Smith, Norval (2008). Creole phonology. In *The Handbook of Pidgin and Creole Studies*, ed. by Silvia Kouwenberg & John Victor Singler. Malden, MA; Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell. 98–129.
- Tilsen, Sam (2010). The articulatory kinematics of stopping speech. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* 128(4): 2288–2288.
- Uffman, Christian (2009). Creole consonant inventories: How simple? In *Simplicity and Complexity in Creoles and Pidgins*, ed. by Nicholas Faraclas & Thomas B. Klein. London: Battlebridge. 81–106.
- Walker, Rachel (2005). Weak triggers in vowel harmony. *Natural Language & Linguistic Theory* 23(4): 917–989.
- Zhang, Yanhong & Alexander L. Francis (2010). The weighting of vowel quality in native and non-native listeners' perception of English lexical stress. *Journal of Phonetics* 38: 260–271.